"Highly Destabilized Carbocations," Generation and Rearrangements in the Reaction of 8,9,10,11-Tetrahydrocyclohepta[a]phenalen-6(12H)-one-12-ol and -7(12H)-one-12-ol with Sulfuric Acid

Shigeyasu KURODA,\* Guan-Jin GUAN, Toshiaki OZAKI, Masayuki SAKA,
Masahiro MUROTANI, Yoshiyuki TAKAHASHI, Tomoaki HIDENAGA, Syuzi HIROOKA,
Masaki YAMADA, and Ichiro SHIMAO

Department of Materials Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Toyama University, Gofuku 3190, Toyama 930

The reaction of 8,9,10,11-Tetrahydrocyclohepta[a]-phenalen-6(12H)-one-12-ol and -7(12H)-one-12-ol with  $\rm H_2SO_4$  gave skeletally rearranged 9- and 10-methylbenz[de]anthracen-6-one and -7-one via destabilized vicinal dications.

Although aromatic dications and aliphatic dications separated by at least two carbons are often stable,  $^{1}$ ) the "highly destabilized carbodications," where the adjacent two cation centers are substantially repulsive, have only been observed in gas phase mass spectrometric studies and in very strongly acidic conditions.  $^{2}$ ) Then the rearrangement of such dication has not appeared so far. The present paper reports the generation and rearrangements, as the first example, of such adjacent carbodications in the reaction of 8,9,10,11-tetrahydrocyclohepta[a]phenalen-7(12H)-one-12-ol 1 and -6(12H)-one-12-ol  $2^{3}$ ) with  $_{2}$ SO<sub>4</sub>, and the discussion on the mechanism based upon the deuterium labeling and related experiments.

The experimental results are described as follows (Scheme 1). i) The reaction of 1 in  $\rm H_2SO_4$  at 45 °C for 45 min gave two isomers 9- and 10-methyl-benz[de]anthracen-7-ones ( $3^4$ ) and 4, 5) respectively) both in 36% yield. ii) The reaction of the positional isomer 2 containing the carbonyl group on the 6-position with  $\rm H_2SO_4$  under the same conditions as above also gave two isomers 9- and 10-methylbenz[de]anthracen-6-ones, 5 and 6, in 25% and 57% yields, respectively. (iii) The reaction of a similar system 2,3-benzo-2-cyclohepten-1-ol 7, having no phenalenone moiety, under the same conditions did not give 8 but only dehydrated compound 9 in 57% yield. iv) The reaction of an alternate compound 8,9,10,-trihydrocyclohepta[a]-phenalen-7-one  $10^3$ ) with  $\rm H_2SO_4$  under the same conditions as above gave the rearranged products 3 and 4 in 46% and 23% yields, respectively, as in

Scheme 1.

the case of 1, where the cation center is expected to be formed at 11position first as 25 by the protonation, rather than at 12-position in 23 due to the electronic repulsion between the two adjacent positive charges.  ${\tt v})$  Only  ${\tt 10}$  was obtained by the reaction of  ${\tt 1}$  with other acids such as polyphosphoric acid and a catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing benzene. The use of a Lewis acid AlCl3 gave unchanged starting material along with some decomposed products. vi) The individual reactions of 3, 4, 5, and 6 in  $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$  under the same conditions as above gave unchanged starting materials. vii) The  $^{1}\mathrm{H}$  NMR monitoring experiments of  $\mathbf{1}$ in sulfuric acid- $d_2$  ( $D_2SO_4$ ) showed the down field shifts for phenalenone moiety (cationic species) and gradual formation of methyl groups. viii) The deuteration on aromatic rings of 3-6 in  $D_2SO_4$  at 50 °C, although actually occurs, was too slow at 9- and 10-positions to detect. The following are revealed by the above results; 1) The independence of the carbonyl position in phenalenone of 1 and 2 from the rearrangement. 2) The necessity of adjacent fused phenalenium dication for the carbocation shift in the initially formed cation 23 to form more stable 25 followed by the subsequent skeletal rearrangements. 3) The specificity of  ${\rm H}_2{\rm SO}_4$  for these rearrangements. 4) Formation of only two methyl isomers from corresponding alcohols and that the methyl group does not migrate after aromatization.

To determine the origin of the methyl proton(s) and the methyl group,

R D D LiAID4 D D2O / 
$$K_2CO_3$$
 THF, 60 °C quant.

13a R = H, 58% 12 14 15

Scheme 2.

the labeled compound having two deuteriums on 11-position 15 or a deuterium on the 12-position (13a, 13b) were prepared from  $12^{3}$ ) by the conventional means as shown in Scheme 2, and subjected to the reaction under the same conditions as above. The rearranged compounds 5 and 6 from 15, and 16 and 17 from 13b did not retain deuterium on the methyl group. The reaction was also performed in  $D_2SO_4$  by using 1 and 2 to know the positions where the deuteriums are incorporated. The reaction of 1 with  $D_2SO_4$  gave deuterated compounds 18 and 19 both in 37% yield. Similarly, the reaction of 2 with  $D_2SO_4$  under the same conditions as above gave deuterated compounds 20 and 21 in 26% and 57% yields, respectively. The methyl groups contained one deuterium and the newly formed benzene moieties possessed deuteriums on the adjacent positions of the methyl group. A reasonable explanation for these results is that the reaction proceeds through three-membered-ring intermediates formed from the cation in which the cationic center is located at the 11-position, followed by the ring

opening by protonation 7) to yield two isomers according to two different

Scheme 3.

bond cleavage pathways a) and b), and the reversible protonation on the adjacent positions of methyl group as shown in Scheme 3. This is new evidence for the reversible protonation in the migration of the cationic center (12- to 11-position) to adjacent position but not commonly accepted 1,2-hydride shift. $^{8)}$  The final dehydrogenation of rearranged compounds to aromatize is due to the strong oxidation ability of  ${\rm H}_2{\rm SO}_4$ , 9) since the reaction of 2 with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, a weeker oxidant than H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, gave a complex mixture of rearranged dihydro-compounds of 5 and 6. Then a plausible reaction mechanism is tentatively illustrated in Scheme 3.

## References

- 1) G. K. S. Prakash, T. N. Rawdah, and G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 22, 390 (1983) and references cited therein.
- Engl., 22, 390 (1983) and references cited therein.

  2) W. Koch, G. Frenking, F. Maquin, D. Stahl, and H. Schwarz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1187; C. Benoit and J. A. Horsley, Mol. Phys., 30, 557 (1975); T. Ohwada, K. Shudo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 1862 (1988); T. Ohwada and K. Shudo, J. Org. Chem., 54, 5227 (1989).

  3) S. Kuroda, Y. Kanbata, Y. Fukuyama, S. Hirooka, H. Takeda, T. Tsuchida, Y. Furuki, T. Sumi, O. Hanida, M. Yamada, and I. Shimao, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 64, 971 (1991); S. Kuroda, Y. Fukuyama, T. Tsuchida, E. Tanaka, and S. Hirooka, Angew. Chem., 97, 770 (1985); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 24, 757 (1985)
- Ed. Engl., 24, 757 (1985).
  4) R. Scholl and C. Seer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 394, 146 (1912), G. Baddeley, J. Chem. Soc., 1950, 994.
- 5) R. Scholl and C. Seer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 394, 148 (1912).
- 6) Selected physical properties of new compounds.
  - 5: yellow needles, mp 154-155  $^{\circ}$ C(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-hexane); IR (KBr): 3050w, 1645vs, 1620s, 1580vs, 1258m, 1128m, 841s cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta = 8.96(s, 1H)$ , 8.63 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, J=9.90 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (m, 3H), 6.75 (d, J=9.90 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 3H); MS(70 eV): m/z 244 ( $M^+$ , 100%).
  - 6: yellow needles, mp 166-167 °C (hexane-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR(90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta = 9.10$  (s, 1H), 8.91 (dd, J=7.80 & 1.80 Hz, 1H), 8.63 (d, J=1.32 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J=8.30 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J=9.90 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.66 (dd, J=8.30 & 1.32 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J=9.90 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (s, 3H);  $MS(70 \text{ eV}): m/z 244 (M^+, 100%).$
- 7) W. H. Perkin and J. F. Thorpe, J. Chem. Soc., 75, 48 (1899), E. Rimini, Gazz., 46, II, 110 (1916).
- 8) J. Manassen, and F. S. Klein, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 4203; R. H. Boyd, R. W. Taft, Jr., A. W. Wolf, and D. R. Christman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, (1960) 4729; R. W. Taft, Jr., E. L. Purlee, P. Riesz, and C. A. DeFazio, ibid., 77, 1584 (1955).
- 9) R. L. Lutz and J. D. Roberts, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3715 (1962).

  Another possibility of aromatization by intermolecular self-oxidationreduction reaction was not suggested by no detection of tetrahydrocompounds of 3-6 in the products formed from corresponding dihydro-compounds 28, 29, and 31.